APPLICATION NO. APPLICATION TYPE REGISTERED PARISH WARD MEMBER(S) APPLICANT SITE	P14/V2540/FUL FULL APPLICATION 6.11.2014 DRAYTON Richard Webber Mr P Caudwell Land East of Drayton, Drayton Road, Drayton, Abingdon, OX14 4HA
PROPOSAL AMENDMENTS GRID REFERENCE OFFICER	The erection of a new farmyard complex comprising a 4000 tonne grain store, straw barn, workshop and two smaller grain storage buildings. There will be a concrete apron area and weighbridge, with a new access track and entrance. The proposal also includes a landscaping scheme.(as per updated transport plan received on 29 January 2015 and as amended by agents email dated 16/02/2015 and revised landscape proposal Fig 6A landscape Proposal REV A) None 448511/194461 Charlotte Brewerton

This application comes to planning committee as the application is classed as a major and has received 4 objections from local residents.

Whilst the Parish Council are not objecting to the application in principle, they do have some concerns with regard to the scale and size of the development and whether this is the correct location.

The main considerations in the determination of this application are

- The principle of development
- The impact upon the surrounding character & landscaping issues
- The impact upon neighbouring amenity
- Transport Issues
- Drainage Issues
- Archaeology Issues

Officer recommendation is for Approval

#### 1.0 **INTRODUCTION**

1.1 The application site lies to the east of Drayton Village in a parcel of open countryside set back from the Drayton Road (B4016) by approximately 80m. The surrounding landscape forms part of the Lowland Vale character area and is within an area designated for landscape enhancement.

The village of Drayton is located approximately 300m from the proposed development site. A row of ribbon development leading out of Drayton along the B4016 is situated approximately 200m from the access road and 300m to the nearest building.

When travelling along the B4016 open views of the site are possible as the hedgerow along this road to the south has deteriorated. A footpath runs along the east of the application site and through the centre of the red line area but not through the location

of the buildings as proposed. There is a small stable building currently on the eastern edge of the site and a number of horses graze the land. The site is considered to have an agricultural use.

There is a scrap/breakers yard located to the north of the site approximately 90m from the site.

1.2 At present the applicant has grain storage for 100 tonnes at its Sutton Courtenay site, 400 tonnes at Appleford, 1600 tonnes in Drayton and 500 tonnes in rented accommodation in Steventon. The units at Drayton are needing to be relocated due to the provision at Barrow Road for new homes as part of the 20/20 Drayton Plan.

The buildings at Sutton Courtenay and Appleford are no longer recorded for the Grain Assurance Scheme given their current condition and would require considerable investment to bring these up to standard. Given the need to relocate buildings from Drayton and the substandard buildings at Sutton Courtenay and Appleford the applicant considers that the most economical solution is to amalgamate the sites into one unit.

A location plan can be seen attached at Appendix A.

### 2.0 **PROPOSAL**

- 2.1 This application seeks planning permission for a new farmyard complex on agricultural land to the east of Drayton. The proposal consists of one grain storage building capable of storing 4000 tonnes, which will measure 11.2m in height, one straw barn measuring 18m deep, 36.5m long and 7m in height and one workshop and sprayer storage building which will measure 20m deep, 35m wide and will stand at 7.6m to its ridge.
- 2.2 These buildings will surround a concrete apron yard area complete with weighbridge. A new access is proposed off the Drayton Road (B4016) with a passing place set back to allow 2 vehicle movements at any one time.

Updated landscape plans have been submitted after discussions with the Councils Landscape Consultant. This now includes additional tree and hedgerow planting as per the submitted plans.

Top soil excavated from the site to accommodate the new buildings will be deposited on the field adjacent to the development site (to the north) to fill in the undulating nature of this field.

A temporary footpath diversion is required.

Extracts of the application plans can be seen <u>attached</u> at Appendix B.

## 3.0 SUMMARY OF CONSULTATIONS & REPRESENTATIONS

3.1

Drayton Parish Council	No Strong Views
	support the site in principle, but have
	considerable reservations over the size
	and scale of development and its
	industrial nature, traffic movements to
	and from the site and noise generation.
	Freeing site in Sutton Courtenay could
	open up the site for more housing?

Archeology	Objections (8 Dec 2014) The application site is within an area of considerable archaeological potential with significant archaeological monuments within the vicinity. In line with Paragraph 128 of the NPPF and Local Plan Policy HE9 we would recommend that a predetermination archaeological field evaluation is undertaken. No Objections (18 Feb 2015) The evaluation did not reveal any significant archaeological features and
	therefore no further archaeological investigation will be required. As such there are no archaeological constraints to this application.
Highways	Objection (8 Dec 2015)
	No Objections (18 Feb 2015) Having assessed the transport management plan submitted in january 2015 there are no objections to the scheme subject to conditions.
	No Objections (27 Feb 2015) We can confirm that the measures identified in the Construction Traffic Management Plan submitted to County Highways are now considered sufficient to discharge the suggested planning condition.
Health & Housing - Env. Protection Team	<b>No Objections</b> Subject to the details of construction and the sound attenuation measures specified in the accompanying acoustic report.
<b>Drainage Engineer</b> (Vale of White Horse District Council)	<b>No Objections</b> Drawings nos RK-1728-5.R2 and R9 now indicate a Suds drainage system and a means of foul drainage as previously requested. However, details of the road/yard drainage,SW outfall to the north-east and the land drainage system serving the proposed septic tank are still required. Such foul and surface water drainage details should be submitted for

	approval prior to devt commencing.
Landscape Architect (Vale of White Horse DC)	No Objections (10 March 2015) The amended plan Fig 6a Rev A, Landscape Proposals showing woodland planting along the western edge of the access road and to the north of the buildings should, in time, help to soften the impact of the large scalebuildings. Fig6b shows proposed tree and hedgerow planting along the B4016 and along theeastern boundary of Drayton village. If the woodland planting and the extra trees and hedgerows are implemented the development would be acceptable within this landscape setting.
Neighbour Object - 4 letters of objection raising the following:	<ul> <li>Character and appearance of open countryside – prominent and harmful,</li> <li>Size of the complex will increase traffic to this part of Drayton and cause congestion and traffic problems,</li> <li>Development should be located where there are already farm buildings,</li> <li>blind corner and junction would cause traffic dangers,</li> <li>industrial scale,</li> <li>located in open countryside, scale and massing would cause unacceptable harm to the landscape on the edge of the village,</li> <li>Has a full appraisal of the applicants land holdings taken place to determine the most suitable site?</li> <li>ideal location to dispose of all the spoil produced from the development?</li> <li>Concerns over the noise increase from traffic movements late at night,</li> <li>blot on the landscape and totally undermine the look and feel of a village that is already facing significant housing developments.</li> <li>safety concerns given the road access proposed.</li> <li>Incorrect that Drayton parish Council have agreed to the site,</li> <li>not a straight replacement 2.5 times larger than existing sites elsewhere,</li> <li>11.4m tall building will dominate the landscape and adjacent footpaths and bridalways,</li> <li>Grade II listed building near to the site (approx 325m &amp; 352m),</li> <li>Fans will prevent those living nearest from enjoying their properties,</li> </ul>

	mitigation landscaping is located in an area that does not belong to Mr Caudwell.
Minerals and Waste	No objection Officers consider there to be insufficient justification for the mineral deposits within the application site to be safeguarded from the proposed development. Provided there would be no movement of excavated material off site or bringing of fill material on to the site, these would be engineering operations taking place within the overall site. As such they would not constitute either mineral working or waste disposal.

# 4.0 **RELEVANT PLANNING HISTORY**

4.1 None

# 5.0 POLICY & GUIDANCE

5.1 National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF)

## Vale of White Horse Local Plan 2011 policies;

- DC1 Design
- DC5 Access
- DC6 Landscaping
- DC7 Waste Collection and Recycling
- DC9 The Impact of Development on Neighbouring Uses
- NE11 Areas for Landscape Enhancement
- NE9 The Lowland Vale
- HE9 Archaeology

## Draft Vale of White Horse Local Plan 2031 Part 1

The draft Local Plan Part 1 is not currently adopted policy and this emerging policy and its supporting text has limited weight as per paragraph 216 of the NPPF. Greater regard therefore is to be given to the NPPF in line with paragraph 14 and where relevant, the saved policies (listed above) within the existing Local Plan.

- 1 Presumption in favour of sustainable development
- 7 Providing supporting infrastructure and services
- 33 Promoting sustainable transport and accessibility
- 35 Promoting public transport, cycling and walking
- 37 Design and local distinctiveness
- 39 The historic environment
- 40 Sustainable design and construction
- 42 Flood risk
- 43 Natural resources
- 44 Landscape
- 46 Conservation and improvement of biodiversity

**Design Guide** (March 2015)

## 6.0 **PLANNING CONSIDERATIONS**

6.1 The main planning considerations in the determination of this planning application are:

- The principle of development
- The impact upon the surrounding character & Landscaping issues
- The impact upon neighbouring amenity
- Transport Issues
- Drainage Issues
- Archaeology Issues

## 6.2 **Principle of development**

The NPPF has a presumption in favour of sustainable development provided that it is of a high quality design, efficient use of the land and in a sustainable location. In addition the economic role of the NPPF seeks to allow development which improves the viability of business and continued economic stability throughout the district.

Furthermore, economic growth in rural areas should be supported by the sustainable growth and expansion of all types of business and enterprise both through the conversion of existing buildings and well-designed new buildings. The NPPF advises that support should be given to promote the development of agricultural rural businesses which respect the character of the countryside.

During site assessments the agent has explained that the existing premises in Drayton have to be relocated due to the land being given up for new homes as part of the 5 year housing land supply in the district. Once these homes are built the exiting building on this site will no longer be able to use their fan grain dryers due to the proximity of new residential dwellings. These new dwellings seek to sustainably provide for the village of Drayton and the new Grain Drying units seek to establish economic benefit to the surrounding area in a more sustainable manner.

The amalgamation of the three sites in sustainability terms is beneficial in that all the units will be on one site. Whilst the units are to be large in scale and height the use of the site is already agricultural in nature, albeit on a very minor scale for the grazing and stabling of horses, but the provision of agricultural units that are set back from the road, within a countryside setting and with a landscaping scheme in place is considered acceptable in this instance.

Officers are satisfied that the proposed location of the new agricultural units in terms of their principle in the content of the NPPF is acceptable.

#### 6.3 Character and Landscaping

Policy DC1 of the Local Plan permits development which would not have a harmful impact upon the character and local distinctiveness of an area and in terms of its layout, scale, mass, design and detailing would not detract from the positive contribution its character has in the wider surrounding area.

The proposed grain store is large in size but it is amalgamating 3 sites into one. The proposal layout around a farm yard formation akin to that of an agricultural complex is considered an acceptable design approach which follows its function.

Farms do form part of the character of the immediate locality with Gilbourn's Farm being located on the opposite side of the Drayton Road, and Hulgrove Farm, Manor Farm, Sherwood Farm and Brook Farm, whilst not within the immediate vicinity, are located around this area providing an agricultural content to this part of the district.

The set back of the large farming complex will assist in reducing its visual impact upon the wider surrounding area and the green and non-reflective finish of the buildings will further ensure that it would not be significantly dominating or prominent in the wider rural context nor affect the long open views across the Lowland Vale to a significant degree.

Officers are mindful of this area as a site that is in need of landscape enhancement as defined in Policy NE11 of the Local Plan. A scrap yard is located to the north of the application site with land to the east having been quarried and filled in, resulting in open sites that have a reduced character appearance. However the proposed landscaping as part of the scheme will enhance the area in accordance with Policy.

The chosen materials which include colours that appear dark and non-reflective would assist in assimilating the development into the open countryside. The design of the units whilst tall in scale have been designed in such a way to allow adequate ventilation and are appropriate for use as intended for the agricultural needs of the farm.

Our Landscape consultant has been in discussions with the agent and Officers are of the opinion that the revised scheme put forward would reduce the overall impact of the farm complex on the surrounding areas. A green belt is now proposed increasing the amount and number of appropriate trees at the entrance to the site which would assist in reducing its overall prominence. Increased hedgerows are to be planted along the roadside to help reduce the visual appearance of the site as a whole from the road and more trees are to be planted along the western boundary with Sutton Wick Lane to ensure that these long views can be adequately screened from local residents.

The proposal seeks to remove top soil and deposit it on the land to the north of where the farm complex is to be built. Plan BK-1728-21-R1 shows that the material would be spread evenly and the land levels of the adjacent field would not be any greater than the level of the farm. Officers are satisfied that there would be no landscape issues from these associated works.

Officers consider that the development would have little demonstrable harm to the character of the Lowland Vale or the wider surrounding area in accordance with Policy.

#### 6.4 Amenity

Policy DC9 permits development that would not have a harmful impact upon surrounding neighbouring uses in terms of privacy and overlooking, loss of light, noise, vibrations, smells, gases or other emissions. Policy NE9 of the Local Plan states that development in the Lowland Vale will not be permitted if it would have an adverse effect on the landscape, particularly on the long open views within or across the area.

The site will be viewed from properties to the south and the west, particularly by residents residing in Sutton Wick Lane. Given the distance of the farm complex from surrounding properties, approximately 300m from those to the west and south, Officers are satisfied that there would be little adverse impact upon overlooking, loss of privacy or light.

Some nearby residents have raised issues of the loss of a private view and that the building will detract from their views across open countryside from Sutton Wick Lane, however in planning terms there is no right to a private view. Officers have assessed that their immediate outlook would not be compromised in terms of the units being dominating or overwhelming of their residential amenity. Increased tree planting along the western boundary of the adjacent field, which is also in ownership by the applicant but does not form part of this application site, will reduce the overall prominence of the proposed development and provide more green features and landscaping to the surrounding open countryside.

Given the distance from these properties, the design, mass, height, scale and layout, the landscaping proposed and the agricultural nature of the surrounding area Officers accept that the long views of these residents would not be demonstrably or significantly adversely affected. This is in accordance with Policy DC9 of the Local Plan.

Our environmental health team have assessed the application and have advised that the acoustic report is adequate and provided all construction details are implemented as per the assessed acoustic report then it is unlikely to result in significant harm from noise and vibrations from the grain drying units. This can be conditioned to ensure implementation.

Given that the farm complex is to be for the storage of harvested grains Officers are satisfied that there is unlikely to be any demonstrable harm arising from smells, gases or other emissions.

#### 6.5 Transport

Policy DC5 of the Local plan permits development that would not have a harmful impact upon traffic safety in terms of access and egress, congestion or environmental problems, circulation, turning, servicing and would not result in congestion of the site or surrounding highway network.

Oxfordshire County Council objected to the application on transport grounds in January 2015. Neighbour comments raised similar issues with regards to the access and egress from the new entrance and the increased nature of heavy goods vehicle movements.

These issues have now been overcome and the Highways liaison officer is of the opinion that the type and frequency of traffic likely to be generated by the proposed development would not be new to the local road network as the land to be serviced by the proposed farmyard has been farmed by WF Caudwell & Sons for many years. Therefore the proposed development traffic would not have an adverse impact on the local road network subject to conditions.

6.6 **Footpath Diversion** - The size of the proposal and nature of the existing site mean that some excavation will be required. It is proposed that the spoil that is to be excavated will be spread on the adjacent field to the north. As a result, the applicant has proposed that the existing footpath (192/16) which crosses this field diagonally would be diverted and it is proposed this would follow the perimeter of the site boundary to the north and west. The footpath does not appear to be directly affected by the development itself. The proposed diversion of the path is not considered necessary to accommodate the development and could, with little input be re-aligned along its existing route following the moving of the soil and re-profiling.

A temporary closure of the path could be applied for while the material is deposited and re-profiled [there is capacity to provide a temporary footpath while this work takes place] and then reinstated on its original line. If the movement of material is required to enable the building work to take place the LHA would recommend a temporary closure of the footpath until the material has been moved followed by the reinstatement of the path under a Section 106 agreement.

## 6.7 Drainage

Flood Risk - For the purposes of applying the National Planning Policy Framework, "flood risk" is a combination of the probability and the potential consequences of flooding from all sources – including from rivers and the sea, directly from rainfall on the ground surface and rising groundwater, overwhelmed sewers and drainage systems, and from reservoirs, canals and lakes and other artificial sources. Having had ongoing discussions with the drainage engineer who is now satisfied that the drainage details are acceptable but that some surface water and foul drainage needs to be conditioned to ensure appropriate details are forthcoming prior to development commencing. In terms of increased flood risk however there would be no harm resulting from permitting this development in accordance with the NPPF.

#### 6.8 Archaeology

The site was considered to be in an area of considerable archaeological interest however on further investigation there was no evidence to suggest that this site would have any constraint to the development as proposed.

This is in accordance with the NPPF and no further conditions need to be added to any grant of approval.

#### 6.9 **Other considerations**

Some neighbours have raised issues of harm to the setting of listed buildings. However due to the distance of listed buildings from the proposal (approximately 300m) it is considered that there would be no demonstrable harm to the setting or historic character of these buildings in accordance with the NPPF and Local Plan Policy HE4.

Some neighbours have concerns that the operational development of the site is commercial in its scale and intensity. Given the nature of the amalgamation from 3 sites to one required for the continued economic success of the business its commercial nature to serve the needs of the agricultural unit is justified. Any further commercial operations outside the agricultural use would require further permissions to be sought by the Local Authority.

6.10 **Minerals and waste** - Under policy SD10, development which would prejudice the working of mineral deposits should not be permitted unless it can be shown that the need for the development outweighs the economic and sustainability considerations relating to the mineral resource.

The minerals and waste officer has raised no objections therefore this is considered to be acceptable in this instance.

### 7.0 CONCLUSION

7.1 Given the amalgamation of 3 sites onto suitable purpose built premises Officers consider that there is sound economic justification to allow such development within a countryside location. The surrounding area is open countryside but with appropriate landscaping measures and the inclusion of 'green belts' and improved hedgerow boundaries the area, that is in need of landscape enhancement, would become much improved in its overall character and appearance. Whilst the scale and mass of the farmyard complex is large the area is well set back from the road and neighbouring properties so that it would not have a significant or demonstrable harm to the site and the surrounding area. There is suitable access onto a sustainable highway network and for these reasons the new farmyard complex is considered to be appropriate in accordance with local plan policy and economically sustainable in accordance with the NPPF.

#### 8.0 **RECOMMENDATION**

To grant planning permission, subject to the following conditions:

- 1 TL1 Time limit Full Application.
- 2 Approved plans.

- 3 Access, parking and turning in accordance with plan.
- 4 Construction traffic (Full).
- 5 Drainage details (surface and foul) (Full).
- 6 Slab Levels (approval as built) (Full).
- 7 Noise attenuation (external noise).

Author Charlotte Brewerton

Email charlotte.brewerton@southandvale.gov.uk